arXiv:1612.03830v1 [math.AG] 12 Dec 2016

INTERMEDIATE EXTENSIONS OF PERVERSE CONSTRUCTIBLE $\mathbb{F}_p$-SHEAVES COMMUTE WITH SMOOTH PULLBACKS

AXEL STÄBLER

Abstract. We prove that intermediate extensions of perverse constructible $\mathbb{F}_p$-sheaves commute with smooth pullbacks for embeddable schemes over a field of characteristic p. Along the way we also prove that the equivalence of categories of Cartier crystals with unit $R[F]$-modules commutes with $f^!$ for a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ of embeddable schemes.

1. Introduction

First, let us consider the category of perverse constructible $\mathbb{F}_\ell$-sheaves on $X_{\acute{e}t}$, where X is, say, of finite type over a field k of characteristic $p > 0$ and $\ell \neq p$ is a prime. Then it is well-known that every object of this category has finite length. It is then desirable to understand the simple objects of this category. This is where the intermediate extension $j_{!*}$ comes into play, where j is a locally closed immersion. Any simple perverse sheaf is of the form $j_{!*}\mathcal{F}$ for some locally closed immersion $j : U \to X$. The intermediate extension $j_{!*}\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ along j in turn is given as the image of the natural map $j_!\mathcal{F} \to j_*\mathcal{F}$. Equivalently, one may define it as the smallest subobject $\mathcal{S}$ of $j_*\mathcal{F}$ for which $j^{-1}\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{F}$. It is a consequence of the smooth base change theorem that intermediate extensions commute with smooth pullbacks. That is, if $f : X \to Y$ is a smooth morphism and $j : U \to Y$ a locally closed immersion, then $f^{-1}j_{!*} = j'_{!*}f'^{-1}$ where f' and j' are the base changes of f and j.

The purpose of this note is to investigate the behavior of intermediate extensions under smooth pullbacks in the case where $\ell = p$. In this case Gabber ([Gab04]) introduced a notion of perversity on the category of constructible sheaves. In [EK04a, Corollary 4.2.2] Emerton and Kisin introduce intermediate extensions in this category via the *anti*-equivalent category of locally finitely generated unit F-modules. Namely, for a locally finitely generated unit F-module M and a locally closed immersion $j : U \to X$ one defines $j_{!+}M$ as the smallest subobject S of j_+M that satisfies $j^!S = j^!j_+M$, where j_+ corresponds to $j_!$ on the constructible side and similarly $j^!$ corresponds to j^{-1}. The authors also show that any simple perverse sheaf is obtained in this way.

We prove that the intermediate extension commutes with smooth pullbacks for morphisms between schemes that are embeddable into smooth schemes. Our proof uses the anti-equivalent category of so-called *Cartier crystals*. In this category intermediate extensions relate to so-called *test modules* (see [Bli13, Definition 3.1, Remark 3.3]) under certain circumstances. It is known by work of the author that the formation of test modules does commute with smooth twisted inverse images ([Stä15, Corollary 4.8]). As it turns out the proof for intermediate extensions is technically much simpler. That a corresponding theory of intermediate extensions also exists in Cartier crystals was shown in Schedlmeier's thesis ([Sch16]).

Date: December 13, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14F20; Secondary 13A35, 14F10.

In order to achieve the above we also have to show that the equivalence of categories between Cartier crystals and perverse constructible $\mathbb{F}_p$-sheaves transforms the twisted inverse image $f^!$ of a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ to the pullback f^{-1} for schemes X, Y that are embeddable into a smooth scheme. While this is certainly expected there is currently no proof of this available which is why we include one here.

Finally, let us remark that the assumption that our schemes are embeddable is only necessary for the equivalence to work. It is not required in order to show that intermediate extensions in Cartier crystals commute with smooth twisted inverse images. Conjecturally, the equivalence with constructible sheaves should also be true in a more general context.

We will review the necessary theory of Cartier modules and crystals as well as the equivalence between Cartier crystals and perverse constructible sheaves in the next section (i.e. Section 2). Then we will proceed to show that this equivalence sends $f^!$ to f^{-1} in Section 3. This is somewhat technical and we encourage the reader to skip ahead to Section 4 on a first reading, where we prove that intermediate extensions commute with twisted inverse images in Cartier crystals.

Conventions. Throughout F denotes the absolute Frobenius morphism. Given a ring R we denote by $F^e_* R$ the abelian group R with R-module structure induced by the eth iterate of the Frobenius, i.e. $r \cdot s = r^{p^e} s$. We will work exclusively in positive prime characteristic and assume that schemes X considered are *F-finite*, i.e. the Frobenius morphism $F : X \to X$ is a finite morphism. We call a k-scheme X *embeddable* if there exists a closed immersion $X \to X'$ with X' smooth over k. We also will have to assume that our schemes admit a notion of relative dimension. Therefore we further restrict our attention to schemes for which the irreducible components coincide with the connected components. If we fix some F-finite field k then the category of embeddable k-schemes (for which irreducible components = connected components) form a full subcategory of the category of k-schemes which we denote by Sch_{emb}.

Acknowledgements. The author is supported by grant STA 1478/1-1 of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG). I thank M. Blickle for useful discussions and T. Schedlmeier for a careful reading of an earlier draft and useful comments.

2. Cartier crystals

In this section we explain the necessary background about Cartier crystals. Unless otherwise noted X is an F-finite scheme. Note that any scheme (essentially) of finite type over an F-finite field (e.g. a perfect field) is F-finite.

2.1. **Definition.** A *Cartier algebra* $\mathcal{C}$ on a scheme X is a graded sheaf of rings $\bigoplus_{e\geq 0} \mathcal{C}_e$ with an $\mathcal{O}_X$-bimodule structure which satisfies $r\kappa = \kappa r^{p^e}$ for any local section r and any local homogeneous element κ of degree e. Moreover, we assume that $\mathcal{C}_0 = \mathcal{O}_X$.

A *Cartier module* (or $\mathcal{C}$-module if we want to stress the algebra) is a left $\mathcal{C}$-module. We say that a Cartier module M is coherent if the underlying $\mathcal{O}_X$-module is so. We say that a coherent $\mathcal{C}$-module M is *nilpotent* if there exists $e \geq 0$ such that $(\mathcal{C}_+)^e M = 0$. Nilpotent Cartier modules form a Serre subcategory of coherent Cartier modules. The category obtained by localizing coherent Cartier modules at the subcategory of nilpotent Cartier modules is referred to as *Cartier crystals*. More precisely, we call a $\mathcal{C}$-linear map $\varphi : M \to N$ of coherent Cartier modules a *nil-isomorphism* if both $\ker \varphi$ and $\operatorname{coker} \varphi$ are nilpotent. Cartier crystals are now obtained by formally inverting nil-isomorphisms. That is, the objects of the

category coincide with Cartier modules but a morphism $\alpha : M \to N$ is a diagram of the form $M \xleftarrow{\beta} P \to N$, where β is a nil-isomorphism. Equivalently, one is localizing Cartier modules at the Serre subcategory of nilpotent Cartier modules. Note that a homogeneous element κ of $\mathcal{C}_e$ acts on a Cartier module M via an $\mathcal{O}_X$-linear map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(F_*^e M, M)$.

The most important example (and the reader may restrict to this case on a first reading) is the case where $\mathcal{C}$ is principal, i.e. generated by a single element κ in degree 1 (say). Then the datum of a coherent Cartier module corresponds to an R-module M together with an R-linear map $F_*M \to M$. By abuse of notation we will call this map κ again and refer to such a Cartier module also as (M, κ). In this case M is nilpotent if and only if $\kappa^e M = 0$ for all $e \gg 0$.

In order to ensure that Cartier crystals admit a pushforward f_* for $f : X \to Y$ a morphism of finite type (in particular, an open immersion), we will impose some finiteness condition on $\mathcal{C}$ e.g. finite generation as an $\mathcal{O}_X$-algebra. We refer the reader to [BS16, Section 7] for further discussion.

Given a morphism $f : X \to Y$ the category of coherent Cartier modules (resp. Cartier crystals) admits the functor f_* if f is finite (resp. of finite type). In the case that f is of finite type one has the intervening notion of *local nilpotence* to deal with the fact that f_* does not preserve coherence for modules (see [BB13a, Section 2.3 and Theorem 3.2.14] for details). We will only need the fact that the category of Cartier crystals admits a pushforward for locally closed immersions. The Cartier structure is induced by the isomorphisms $f_* F_*^e \cong F_*^e f_*$.

Moreover, for $f : X \to Y$ a smooth morphism one has a twisted inverse image functor $f^!$. It is induced by the corresponding functor on underlying R-modules. Explicitly, one has $f^! \bullet = f^* \bullet \otimes \omega_f$, where ω_f is the relative dualizing sheaf (we refer to [BS16, Section 5] for details). In particular, if f is étale then $f^! = f^*$. The Cartier structure in the étale case is given as follows: The morphism $f^* F_*^e M \to F_*^e f^* M$ given on local sections by $m \otimes s \mapsto m \otimes s^{p^e}$ is an isomorphism. Now one defines the Cartier structure via $F_*^e f^* M \cong f^* F_*^e M \xrightarrow{\kappa \otimes id} f^* M$, where $\kappa \in \mathcal{C}$ is homogeneous of degree e.

Similarly, in the smooth case we may locally factor f as $\operatorname{Spec} S \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathbb{A}^n_R \xrightarrow{g} \operatorname{Spec} R$ with φ étale. Then the Cartier structure on $f^! M$ is the one induced from $\varphi^! g^! M$, where the Cartier structure on $g^! M = g^* M \otimes \omega_g$ is given by

$$m \otimes x_1^{t_1} \cdots x_n^{t_n} dx \mapsto \kappa(m) \otimes x_1^{\frac{t_1+1}{p^e}-1} \cdots x_n^{\frac{t_n+1}{p^e}-1} dx.$$

Here $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are local coordinates, $dx = dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$ and κ is homogeneous of degree e.

Moreover, for $f : X \to Y$ finite one has a derived functor $f^! = \bar{f}^* R\,\mathrm{Hom}(f_* \mathcal{O}_X, \bullet)$, where $\bar{f} : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \to (Y, f_* \mathcal{O}_X)$ is the canonical flat map of ringed spaces. In order to define a Cartier structure one exploits duality for a finite map. Recall our assumption that the Frobenius is finite. Hence, a map $\kappa : F_*^e M \to M$ corresponds by duality to a map $M \to F^{e!} M$. We then have an isomorphism $f^! F^{e!} M \cong F^{e!} f^! M$ which, by applying duality again, induces a morphism $F_*^e f^! M \to f^! M$. The important case for us is, where f is a complete intersection, say of relative dimension n. In this case only $R^n \mathrm{Hom}(f_* \mathcal{O}_Y, M)$ is non-zero. If the corresponding regular sequence is given by $x_1, \ldots, x_n$, then $R^n f^! M = M/(x_1, \ldots, x_n)M$ where the Cartier structure is given by $m \mapsto \kappa x_1^{p^e-1} \cdots x_n^{p^e-1} m$.

2.2. **Definition.** Let X be an F-finite scheme and $j : U \to X$ a locally closed immersion. Given a Cartier crystal M on U we define the *intermediate extension* $j_{!*} M$ (of M along j) to be the smallest subcrystal N of $j_* M$ for which $j^! N = j^! j_* M = M$.

Note that we may factor j as $f \circ g$ with f a closed immersion and g an open immersion. If X is embeddable into a smooth scheme and the Cartier structure is principal, then we have $j^! j_* = (fg)^!(fg)_* \cong g^! f^! f_* g_* \cong g^! g_*$, where we use [Sch16, Theorem 5.12 (c)] and [BB13a, Theorem 4.1.2].

The intermediate extension always exists for open immersions (see [Sch16, Theorem 6.13] for a proof in the case that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{O}_X\langle\kappa\rangle$, the general case being similar). We prove existence in the case $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{O}_X\langle\kappa\rangle$ for a locally closed immersion in Lemma 4.5 below.

Assume now that $\mathcal{C}$ is of the form $\mathcal{O}_X\langle\kappa\rangle$ with κ of degree 1. Then we may equivalently view a coherent Cartier module as a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module endowed with a map $F_*M \to M$. If X is F-finite and embeddable, then the category of Cartier crystals on X is anti-equivalent to the category of perverse constructible $\mathbb{F}_p$-sheaves via the intermediate category of locally finitely generated unit F-modules. This anti-equivalence transforms f_* into $f_!$ for locally closed immersions and $f^!$ into f^{-1} for smooth morphisms (see Section 3 for the latter).

Let us briefly outline the category of locally finitely generated unit F-modules. We will only consider unit F-modules that are locally finitely generated in this article and refer to them simply as *unit F-modules*. Fix a smooth scheme X. The objects of the category of unit F-modules are pairs (M, Φ), where M is a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module and $\Phi : F^*M \to M$ is an isomorphism. Moreover, we require that M admits a *root*. Given a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module N with an injective map $\varphi : N \to F^*N$ we can form $A = \operatorname{colim}_{e\geq 0} F^{e*}N$. The map φ induces an isomorphism $\Phi_A : F^*A \to A$. We say that N is a root of M if there exists an isomorphism $A \cong M$ that is compatible with Φ_A and Φ. Unit F-modules are special cases of left $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules and come equipped with functors f_+ and $f^!$ for any morphism $f : X \to Y$ between smooth schemes. The functor f_+ corresponds to the pushforward on the underlying $\mathcal{D}_X$-module while $f^!$ corresponds to f^*. If X is not smooth but embeddable into a smooth scheme X' via a closed immersion $i : X \to X'$ with complement $j : U \to X'$, then one defines the full subcategory of unit F-modules on X' via the requirement that $j^!M = 0$. It is shown in [Sch16, Corollary 4.11] that this is independent of the chosen embedding.

The equivalence of Cartier crystals with unit F-modules comes about as follows. Let X be smooth over an F-finite field. Then by duality for finite maps a Cartier structure on a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-module M corresponds to a map $C : M \to F^!M$. Iterating this map and taking the colimit we obtain $\mathcal{M} = \operatorname{colim}_{e\geq 0} F^{e!}M$. One can check that this is a right $\mathcal{D}_X$-module. In particular, $\mathcal{M} \otimes \omega_X^{-1}$ is a left $\mathcal{D}_X$-module and as it turns out a unit F-module. This functor descends to Cartier crystals and in this case induces an equivalence of categories (cf. [BB11, Theorem 5.15] note that the isomorphism $\omega \cong F^!\omega$ is induced by the choice of an isomorphism $k \to F^!k$ and that "Cartier modules" should read "Cartier crystals"). This generalizes to an equivalence of embeddable schemes by [Sch16, Theorem 5.12]. More precisely, if Z is a scheme and $i : Z \to X$ a closed immersion with X smooth then the equivalence is given by $\Sigma_X \circ i_*$, where Σ_X is the equivalence in the smooth case. This does not depend on the chosen embedding by [Sch16, Proposition 5.4].

Moreover, by [EK04b, Theorem 11.3] the category of unit F-modules on a smooth scheme is anti-equivalent to the category of perverse constructible $\mathbb{F}_p$-sheaves on the étale site. This anti-equivalence transforms f_+ into $f_!$ and $f^!$ into f^{-1} for a morphism $f : X \to Y$ between smooth schemes, where for f_+ we require in addition that it factors as gh with g an immersion and h smooth and proper. In [Sch16, Theorem 5.12] it is shown that this anti-equivalence also extends to embeddable schemes.

Before we can talk about the compatibility of functors between Cartier crystals and unit F-modules we need to address the issue of relative dimension and of derived categories. In order to properly introduce derived categories we need to use a quasi-coherent variant of Cartier crystals (we refer the reader to [BB13a, Section 2] for details). Namely, to be able to construct injective resolutions one needs to consider the derived category $D^b_{crys}(QCrys(X))$. Here $QCrys$ means quasi-coherent Cartier crystals and the index $crys$ indicates that we require cohomology to be in Cartier crystals. The category $QCrys(X)$ is obtained from quasi-coherent Cartier modules by localizing at the Serre subcategory of (quasi-coherent) *locally nilpotent* Cartier modules. If X is *regular*, then it is proved in [BB13b] that $D^b(Crys(X))$ is equivalent to $D^b_{crys}(QCrys(X))$. By [Sch16] this continues to hold for embeddable schemes. Henceforth, we will refer to $D^b_{crys}(QCrys)$ as $D^b_{crys}(X)$. Similarly, we denote by $D^b_{unit\,F}(X)$ the derived category of so-called *F-modules* whose cohomology groups are (locally finitely generated) unit F-modules. If X is smooth then $D^b_{unit\,F}(X)$ coincides with $D^b(unit\,F(X))$ by [EK04b, §§17] (again the results of [Sch16] show that this continues to hold in the embeddable case). Hence, if X is smooth or embeddable, then we may deduce many statements for $D^b_{crys}(X)$ or $D^b_{unit\,F}(X)$ by proving them for $Crys$ or $unit\,F$ and then passing to the derived category.

The anti-equivalence of Cartier crystals with perverse constructible sheaves extends to a derived (anti)-equivalence $D^b_{crys}(X) \to D^b_{unit\,F}(X) \to D^b_c(X)$ and the trivial t-structures (on crys and unit F) correspond to the middle perversity. In order to have a notion of relative dimension for an embeddable scheme we have to assume that the irreducible components of the schemes we consider coincide with connected components. This is in particular satisfied if the scheme is normal or irreducible. As mentioned in our conventions we will therefore impose this condition on the schemes we consider. For each $X \in Sch_{\mathrm{emb},k}$ we define a t-structure on $D^b_?(X)$ by taking cohomology at the dimension of the corresponding irreducible components, where $? \in \{crys, unit\,F\}$. With this in mind we account for the relative dimension n in the trivial t-structure by shifting the functor $f^!$ if it is exact and by considering $R^n f^!$ otherwise. Namely, when $i : X \to Y$ is a closed immersion of relative dimension n then in Cartier crystals we will denote by $i^!$ the nth derivative of the functor $\bar{i}^* \operatorname{Hom}(i_*\mathcal{O}_X, \bullet)$. For a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ we then (re)define $f^!$ as $f^!$ shifted by the relative dimension. Then $f^!$ induces an exact functor between the hearts of the t-structures. Similarly, by the above discussion if f is an lci morphism, then $f^!$ also induces an exact functor between hearts.

With this in mind one has that the the equivalence from Cartier crystals to unit F-modules commutes with $j^!$ and transforms j_* into j_+ for a locally closed immersion (see [Sch16, Theorem 5.12]). In particular, the anti-equivalence from Cartier crystals to unit F-modules transforms $j^!$ into j^{-1} and j_+ into $j_!$ for a locally closed immersion j.

3. The functor $f^!$ for a smooth morphism f

The results in this section should be of independent interest. We prove that the equivalence between Cartier crystals and unit F-modules for embeddable schemes commutes with $f^!$ for smooth morphisms f. This is accomplished in Theorems 3.4 and 3.14. We then proceed to show that the anti-equivalence between Cartier crystals and perverse constructible sheaves transforms $f^!$ into f^{-1} for smooth morphisms between embeddable schemes (Corollary 3.12).

First we need a lemma that describes how the unit F structure is obtained from the Cartier structure:

3.1. **Lemma.** *Let R be F-finite regular and fix an isomorphism $C : \omega_R \to F^!\omega_R$ with adjoint $\kappa : F_*\omega_R \to \omega_R$. Assume that $\mathcal{M} = \operatorname{colim} F^{e!}M$, where (M, κ_M) is a Cartier module. Then the inverse of the adjoint structural map $C_{\mathcal{M}}^{-1} : F^!\mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ induces an R-linear map $\Phi : \operatorname{Hom}(\omega_R, \mathcal{M}) \to F_* \operatorname{Hom}(\omega_R, \mathcal{M})$ given by adjunction of the structural map $F^*(\mathcal{M} \otimes \omega_R^{-1}) \to \mathcal{M} \otimes \omega_R^{-1}$. This map Φ is given as the composition of the maps*

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\omega_R, \mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(F_*\omega_R, \mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow F_* \operatorname{Hom}(\omega_R, F^!\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow F_* \operatorname{Hom}(\omega_R, \mathcal{M})$$

where the arrows are given by $\kappa : F_*\omega_R \to \omega_R$, adjunction of F_* and $F^!$ and the inverse of $C_{\mathcal{M}} : \mathcal{M} \to F^!\mathcal{M}$ respectively.

Proof. We may identify $\operatorname{Hom}(\omega_R, \bullet)$ with $\bullet \otimes \omega_R^{-1}$. Recall that the map $F^*(\mathcal{M} \otimes \omega_R^{-1}) \to \mathcal{M} \otimes \omega_R^{-1}$ is induced from $C_{\mathcal{M}}^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\omega_R^{-1}}$ by the isomorphism $\alpha : F^*(\mathcal{M} \otimes \omega_R^{-1}) \to F^!\mathcal{M} \otimes \omega_R^{-1}$ (cf. [BB11, Corollary 5.8]).

In particular, it suffices to verify that the adjoint of $F_*(C_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\omega_R^{-1}}) \circ \Phi$ corresponds to α. Note that the adjoint of $F_*(C_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\omega_R^{-1}}) \circ \Phi$ is given by

$$F^* \operatorname{Hom}(\omega_R, \mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(\omega_R, F^!\mathcal{M}), \quad t \otimes \varphi \longmapsto t[ds \mapsto [r \mapsto \varphi(\kappa(rds))]].$$

The map α comes about as follows: Tensoring the isomorphism $F^!R \otimes F^*\omega_R \to F^!\omega$ (apply [BB11, Lemma 5.7] with $M = \omega_R$) with $F^*\omega_R^{-1}$ we get an isomorphism

$$F^!R \longrightarrow F^!\omega_R \otimes F^*\omega_R^{-1}.$$

Let us denote its inverse by λ. Applying [BB11, Lemma 5.7] we get an isomorphism $F^!R \otimes F^*\mathcal{M} \to F^!\mathcal{M}$. Then λ induces

$$F^!\omega_R \otimes F^*\omega_R^{-1} \otimes F^*\mathcal{M} = F^!\omega_R \otimes F^* \operatorname{Hom}(\omega_R, \mathcal{M}) \to F^!\mathcal{M}. \tag{1}$$

Now one finally identifies $F^!\omega_R$ with ω_R via the fixed isomorphism C^{-1} and tensors both sides with ω_R^{-1}.

First, we claim that the morphism (1) is given by the natural map $\varphi \otimes \psi \mapsto \psi \circ \varphi$. In order to verify this it suffices to show that the composition of this natural map with $F^!R \otimes F^*\mathcal{M} \to F^!\omega_R \otimes F^*\omega_R^{-1} \otimes F^*\mathcal{M}$ coincides with the given map $F^!R \otimes F^*\mathcal{M} \to F^!\mathcal{M}$. This is a local issue so that we may assume that ω_R is a free R-module generated by ds. Then the map $F^!R \otimes F^*\mathcal{M} \to F^!\omega_R \otimes F^*\omega_R^{-1} \otimes F^*\mathcal{M} \to F^!\omega_R \otimes F^* \operatorname{Hom}(\omega_R, \mathcal{M})$ is given by sending $\varphi \otimes t \otimes m$ to $[r \mapsto \varphi(tr)ds] \otimes 1 \otimes [rds \mapsto (ds)^\vee(rds)m]$ and one readily checks that composition with the natural map yields the claimed isomorphism.

Now by the above the map $\omega_R \otimes F^* \operatorname{Hom}(\omega_R, \mathcal{M}) \to F^!\mathcal{M}$ is given by $ds \otimes \psi \mapsto [r \mapsto \psi(\kappa(rds))]$. Tensoring with ω_R^{-1} and making the identification $\omega_R^{-1} \otimes F^!\mathcal{M} = \operatorname{Hom}(\omega_R, F^!\mathcal{M})$ finally yields the map $ds^\vee \otimes ds \otimes \psi \mapsto [dt \mapsto [r \mapsto \psi(\kappa(rds^\vee(dt)ds))]]$. Since $dt = uds$ and then $ds^\vee(dt) = u$ this coincides with the adjoint of $F_*(C_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\omega_R^{-1}}) \circ \Phi$ as described above. □

3.2. **Remark.** In practice if R is (essentially) of finite type over an F-finite field k, then one fixes once and for all an isomorphism $k \to F^!k$. If $f : \operatorname{Spec} R \to \operatorname{Spec} k$ is the structural map, then $f^!$ induces an isomorphism $\omega_R \to F^!\omega_R$. If k is not perfect, then there is no canonical choice for the isomorphism $k \to F^!k$.

3.3. **Definition.** Let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth morphism of affine schemes. We call f *standard smooth* if f factors as $g \circ \varphi$, where $g : \mathbb{A}_Y^n \to Y$ is the structural map and $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{A}_Y^n$ is étale.

3.4. **Theorem.** *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth morphism of smooth schemes. Then if Σ denotes the equivalence $Crys \to unit\, F$, one has $\Sigma \circ f^! = f^! \circ \Sigma$.*

Proof. First of all, observe that we have a natural isomorphism of underlying R-modules:

$$\begin{aligned}(\operatorname{colim}_{e\geq 0} F_X^{e\,!} f^! M)\otimes \omega_X^{-1} &\cong (\operatorname{colim}_{e\geq 0} f^! F_Y^{e\,!} M)\otimes \omega_X^{-1} \cong f^!(\operatorname{colim}_{e\geq 0} F_Y^{e\,!} M)\otimes \omega_X^{-1}\\ &\cong f^*\operatorname{colim}_{e\geq 0} F_Y^{e\,!} M\otimes \omega_{X/Y}\otimes \omega_X^{-1}\\ &\cong f^*(\operatorname{colim}_{e\geq 0} F_Y^{e\,!} M\otimes \omega_Y^{-1}),\end{aligned}$$

where in the second and third step we use that f is smooth so that $f^! M = f^* M \otimes \omega_{X/Y}$. It remains to verify that this interchanges the Cartier and unit F structures. This in turn is a local statement so that we may assume that $f = g\circ h$ is standard smooth, with $h : \operatorname{Spec} S \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{A}^n_R$ étale and $g : \mathbb{A}^n_R \to \operatorname{Spec} R$. We treat these cases separately, i.e. we have to look at the case of an étale morphism $\operatorname{Spec} S \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ and a smooth morphism $\mathbb{A}^n_R \to \operatorname{Spec} R$. Fix an R Cartier module (M,κ) and denote $\operatorname{colim}_{e\geq 0} F^{e!} M$ by $\mathcal{M}$. We denote the adjoint structural map of M by C.

Quite generally, if $\Phi : \operatorname{Hom}(\omega_R,\mathcal{M}) \to F_*\operatorname{Hom}(\omega_R,\mathcal{M})$ endows $\operatorname{Hom}(\omega_R,\mathcal{M})$ with a unit F-module structure and f is a smooth morphism, then a small computation shows that the adjoint structural map for $f^*\operatorname{Hom}(\omega_R,\mathcal{M})$ (up to the identification of $f^*\operatorname{Hom}(\omega_R,\mathcal{M})$ with $\operatorname{Hom}(f^*\omega_R, f^*\mathcal{M})$) is given by

$$\Psi : \operatorname{Hom}(f^*\omega_R, f^*\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow F_*\operatorname{Hom}(f^*\omega_R, f^*\mathcal{M}),\quad \mu_s\otimes\varphi \longmapsto \mu_{s^p}\otimes\Phi(\varphi),$$

where μ_a denotes the multiplication by a. If we denote the (adjoint) unit F structure on $\Sigma(f^! M)$ by Ξ, as described in Lemma 3.1, then it suffices to verify that $F_*(\Lambda\otimes \mathrm{id}_{\omega_R^{-1}})\circ\Psi = F_*(\Lambda\otimes \mathrm{id}_{\omega_R^{-1}})\circ\Xi$, where $\Lambda : f^!\mathcal{M}\to F^! f^!\mathcal{M}$ is the adjoint structure map of $\mathcal{M}$ (up to some natural isomorphisms in the non-étale case, see below). We denote the structural map of $f^! M$ by λ. By abuse of notation we will use the same letter for structural maps (and their adjoints) on the colimits $f^!\mathcal{M},\mathcal{M}$. The fixed Cartier structure on ω_R is denote by κ_ω

Let us start by assuming that $f : \operatorname{Spec} S \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ is étale. Recall that $f^! = f^*$ and that one has an isomorphism $\alpha : S\otimes_R F_* M \to F_*(S\otimes_R M), s\otimes m \mapsto s^p\otimes m$. In particular, any $s\in S$ can be written as $\sum_i r_i s_i^p$ with $r_i\in R$. The Cartier structure on $f^! M$ is given by $\lambda : (\mathrm{id}\otimes\kappa)\circ\alpha^{-1}$. Since both maps are clearly additive we may restrict our attention to tensors of the form $s^p\otimes\bullet$.

Denoting multiplication by s by μ_s we then have that $F_*(\Lambda\otimes \mathrm{id}_{\omega_R^{-1}})\circ\Psi(\mu_s\otimes\varphi)(t^p\otimes w)$ is given by

$$u^p \longmapsto \lambda(u^p s^p t^p\otimes C^{-1}([r\mapsto\varphi(\kappa_\omega(rw))])).$$

Using the fact that $\lambda = (\mathrm{id}\otimes\kappa)\circ\alpha^{-1}$ we obtain

$$u^p \mapsto \mathrm{id}\otimes\kappa(ust\otimes C^{-1}([r\mapsto\varphi(\kappa_\omega(rw))])) = u^p\mapsto ust\otimes\varphi(\kappa_\omega(w)).$$

A simple computation using Lemma 3.1 shows that this coincides with $F_*(\Lambda\otimes \mathrm{id}_{\omega_R^{-1}})\circ\Xi$ as claimed. This concludes the étale case.

Let us assume now that $f : \mathbb{A}^n_R\to\operatorname{Spec} R$ is the structural map. We may further assume that $n=1$ and denote the coordinate by x. Moreover, we may assume that $\Omega^1_{R/k}$ is free with basis $dy_1,\dots,dy_i$. We denote the corresponding basis on ω_R by δ. Then $f^! M$ is given by $f^* M\otimes\omega_f$, where $\omega_f = R[x]dx$ is a free $R[x]$-module of rank 1. One has a natural isomorphism of Cartier modules $f^!\omega_R = \omega_f\otimes f^*\omega_R \cong \omega_{R[x]}$ given by $\delta\otimes 1\otimes dx\mapsto \delta\wedge dx$. This induces an isomorphism

$$\beta : \operatorname{Hom}(\omega_{R[x]}, f^! M)\longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(f^*\omega_R, f^* M), [\delta\wedge dx\mapsto m\otimes s\otimes dx]\longmapsto [1\otimes\delta\mapsto m\otimes s].$$

The induced Cartier structure on $f^!M$ is given by $rx^n dx \otimes m \mapsto x^{\frac{n+1}{p}-1} \otimes \kappa(rm)$ (see [Stä15, Lemma 4.1])[1]. Again we have to verify that the unit F structure on $\Sigma(f^!M)$ coincides with the one on $f^*\Sigma(M)$. A computation similar to the étale case shows that

$$(F_*(\Lambda \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\omega_R^{-1}}) \circ F_*\beta^{-1} \circ \Psi) : \mathrm{Hom}(f^*\omega_R, f^*\mathcal{M}) \longrightarrow F_* \mathrm{Hom}(\omega_{R[x]}, F^!f^!\mathcal{M})$$

is given by

$$\mu_{x^n} \otimes \varphi \longmapsto [rx^a\delta \wedge dx \mapsto [sx^b \mapsto \kappa(sC^{-1}[u \mapsto \varphi(\kappa_\omega(ru\delta))] \otimes x^n x^{\frac{a+b+1}{p}-1} \otimes dx],$$

where μ_{x^n} is multiplication by x^n and we note that $\kappa(sC^{-1}[u \mapsto \varphi(\kappa_\omega(ru\delta))] = \varphi(\kappa_\omega(sr\delta))$.

On the other hand, we obtain that

$$F_*(\Lambda \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\omega_R^{-1}}) \circ \Xi \circ \beta^{-1} : \mathrm{Hom}(f^*\omega_R, f^*\mathcal{M}) \to F_* \mathrm{Hom}(\omega_{R[x]}, F^!f^!\mathcal{M})$$

is given by

$$\mu_{x^n} \otimes \varphi \mapsto [r\delta \otimes x^a \otimes dx \mapsto [sx^b \mapsto \varphi(\kappa_\omega(rs\delta)) \otimes x^n \cdot x^{\frac{a+b+1}{p}-1} \otimes dx]],$$

where we use the identification $\omega_{R[x]} = f^!\omega_R$. □

3.5. **Corollary.** *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth morphism of smooth schemes. Then if Σ_X denotes the equivalence $D^b_{crys}(X) \to D^b_{unit\,F}(X)$ and similarly for Σ_Y one has $\Sigma_X \circ f^! = f^! \circ \Sigma_Y$.*

Proof. As $f^!$ is exact in both cases this is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.4. □

In order to achieve a result similar to Corollary 3.5 for embeddable schemes and $f^!$ on *Crys* and f^{-1} on perverse constructible sheaves we need to verify one more compatibility of the shriek functor. That is, we need to verify that if $b : X \to Y$ is a closed immersion and $g : Y \to Z$ and gb are smooth then the isomorphism $(gb)^! = b^!g^!$ is compatible with Cartier structures. In particular, b has to be a locally complete intersection (cf. [Gro71, Théorème II.4.10]) so that if n is its relative dimension, then $b^!M = \bar{b}^*R^n \mathrm{Hom}(b_*\mathcal{O}_X, M)$ for a Cartier module or crystal M.

3.6. **Lemma.** *Let $b : X \to Y$ and $g : Y \to Z$ be morphisms of F-finite schemes with b a closed immersion and g and $f = gb$ smooth. Then one has an isomorphism of Cartier modules (or crystals) $b^!g^!M \cong f^!M$.*

Proof. By [Har66, Proposition III.8.4] there is an underlying isomorphism of coherent sheaves. It remains to verify that this is compatible with Cartier structures which is local. Hence, we may assume that b is a complete intersection and $X = \mathrm{Spec}\, S/I$, $Y = \mathrm{Spec}\, S$, $Z = \mathrm{Spec}\, R$. Further we may assume that g and $f = gb$ are standard smooth with factorizations $g = s \circ \varphi$ and $f = t \circ \psi$. Moreover, if $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are coordinates for g, then we may assume that the closed immersion b is given by modding out $x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n$ (cf. [Gro71, Théorème II.4.10]).

We therefore obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec}\, S/I & \xrightarrow{b} & \mathrm{Spec}\, S \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \varphi} \\ \mathbb{A}^m_R & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{A}^n_R \\ & {\scriptstyle t}\searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s} \\ & & \mathrm{Spec}\, R \end{array}$$

[1] with the usual convention that a fractional exponent renders the whole expression to zero

where the square is cartesian. We will verify that $t^! \cong i^! s^!$ and $\psi^! i^! \cong b^! \varphi^!$ are isomorphisms of Cartier modules. Then using [Stä15, Lemma 4.4] we obtain $(t\psi)^! \cong \psi^! t^! \cong \psi^! i^! s^! \cong b^! \varphi^! s^! \cong b^! (s\varphi)^!$.

By [BB13a, Example 3.3.12] the Cartier structure on $b^! N = N/IN$ is given by $\kappa \cdot (x_{m+1} \cdots x_n)^{p-1}$, where κ is the Cartier structure on N and $I = (x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n)$. It is now straightforward to verify that $t^! \cong i^! s^!$ is compatible with Cartier structures. Next, we verify that $\psi^! i^! \cong b^! \varphi^!$ is compatible with Cartier structures.

So let (M, κ_M) be a Cartier module. We have $b^! \varphi^! M = M \otimes_{R[x_1, \cdots, x_n]} S \otimes_S S/I$, where the Cartier structure is given by

$$m \otimes 1 \otimes s^p \mapsto \kappa(m \otimes 1 \otimes x_{m+1}^{p-1} \cdots x_n^{p-1} s^p) = \kappa_M(x_{m+1}^{p-1} \cdots x_n^{p-1} m) \otimes 1 \otimes s.$$

On the other hand, the Cartier structure on

$$\psi^! i^! M = M/(x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_n)M \otimes_{R[x_1, \ldots, x_m]} S/IS$$

is given by

$$m + (x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_m)M \otimes s^p \mapsto \kappa_M(x_{m+1}^{p-1} \cdots x_n^{p-1} m) + (x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_m)M \otimes s.$$

These Cartier structures are clearly interchanged by the natural isomorphism between $b^! \varphi^! M \to \psi^! i^! M$. □

3.7. **Lemma.** *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth morphism of embeddable schemes. Then there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{a} & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{i} & \tilde{Y} \end{array}$$

with g smooth and a, i closed immersions and $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}$ smooth. For any such diagram one has a natural isomorphism $a_* f^! \cong a_* a^! g^! i_*$ of Cartier crystals or modules.

Proof. Choose smooth embeddings $i : Y \to \tilde{Y}$ and $c : X \to X'$. The morphisms c and $i \circ f$ induce a morphism $a : X \to X' \times_k \tilde{Y} = \tilde{X}$. Since c is affine and $c = pr_1 \circ a$ we conclude from [GD61, II.1.6.2 (v)] that a is affine. Since c is surjective on sections we conclude the same for a which implies that it is a closed immersion. We may take $g : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{Y}$ as the base change of the first projection which is smooth.

We come to the claimed identity of functors. We have the following commutative diagram



where for the pullback diagram one has $g^! i_* = i'_* g'^!$ by [Stä15, Lemma 4.5]. Note that b is a closed immersion, so that Lemma 3.6 yields that $b^! g'^! = f^!$. Using these facts one computes

$$a_* a^! g^! i_* = a_* a^! i'_* g'^! = a_* b^! i'^! i'_* g'^! = a_* b^! g'^! = a_* f^!$$

which is the asserted identity. □

3.8. **Remark.** Note that we have not used the coherence assumption of M in Lemma 3.6 anywhere. In particular, the same result holds if we consider a quasi-coherent Cartier modules or the category $QCrys(X)$ and also in $D^b(QCrys(X))$. Since $D^b_{crys}(X)$ is a full subcategory of the latter the result also holds in this context.

3.9. **Proposition.** *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth morphism of embeddable schemes. Then there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{a} & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{i} & \tilde{Y} \end{array}$$

with g smooth and a, i closed immersions and $\tilde{X} \times_k \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}$ smooth. For any such diagram the composition of derived functors $a_* f^!$ between appropriate $D^b_{crys}(?)$ is naturally isomorphic to $a_* a^! g^! i_*$.

Proof. Lemma 3.6 together with the Remark above yields the corresponding statement in $D^b(QCrys)$ and hence also in $D^b_{crys}(X)$. □

3.10. **Lemma.** *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth morphism of embeddable schemes. Then there is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{a} & \tilde{X} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{i} & \tilde{Y} \end{array}$$

with g smooth and a, i closed immersions and $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}$ smooth. For any such diagram the composition of derived functors $a_! f^{-1}$ between appropriate $D^b_c(\tilde{X})$ is naturally isomorphic to $a_! a^{-1} g^{-1} i_!$.

Proof. This follows using the proper base change theorem (e.g. [Mil80, Corollary VI.2.3]) along the lines of 3.9. Note that the base change theorem holds for arbitrary abelian torsions sheafs so that we do not need to worry about the presentation of $D^b_c(\tilde{X})$ in this case. □

3.11. **Theorem.** *For embeddable schemes X, Y and a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ if Φ_X denotes the chain of equivalences $D^b_{crys}(X) \to D^b_{unit\,F}(X) \to D^b_c(X)$ and similarly Φ_Y, then $\Phi_X f^! = f^{-1} \Phi_Y$.*

Proof. Note that this chain of equivalences transforms i_* to $i_!$ and $i^!$ to i^{-1} for locally closed immersions by [Sch16, Theorem 5.12 (c)]. By Corollary 3.5, [EK04b, Theorem 11.3] it transforms $f^!$ to f^{-1} for a morphism $f : X \to Y$ between *smooth* schemes. Using the setup of Proposition 3.9 and combining the statement of this lemma with Lemma 3.10 above we obtain that $a_* a^! g^! b_*$ is sent to $a_! a^{-1} g^{-1} b_!$ by this anti-equivalence. Recall that $i^{-1} i_! = \mathrm{id}$ for any closed immersion $i : Z \to X$ in $D^b_c(Z)$ and similarly $i^! i_* = \mathrm{id}$ in $D^b_{crys}(Z)$. Using this observation with $i = a$ we obtain that $f^!$ is transformed into f^{-1}. □

3.12. **Corollary.** *For embeddable schemes X, Y and a smooth morphism $f : X \to Y$ the chain of equivalences $Crys(?) \to unit\,F(?) \to Perv_c(?)$, where $Perv_c(?)$ denotes perverse constructible sheaves on $?$ transforms $f^!$ into f^{-1}.*

Proof. Since under the derived equivalence $D^b_{crys}(X) \to D^b_c(X)$ the trivial t-structure is sent to the perverse t-structure ([Sch16, Corollary 5.14]) this follows from Theorem 3.11. □

Recall that $R\Gamma_X$ for a closed subscheme X of a scheme X' denotes the (derived) local cohomology functor.

3.13. **Definition.** Let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth morphism of embeddable schemes. If $i : Y \to \tilde{Y}$ and $a : X \to \tilde{X}$ are embeddings into smooth schemes such that $if = ga$ for some smooth morphism $g : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{Y}$, then for any unit F-module M on Y we define $f^!M$ as $R^n\Gamma_X g^!M$, where n is the relative dimension of the embedding a. Note that by definition M is a unit F-module on $\tilde{Y}$ such that $j^!M = 0$, where $j : \tilde{Y} \setminus Y \to \tilde{Y}$.

3.14. **Theorem.** *With the notation of 3.13 if $\Sigma_?$ denotes the equivalence $Crys(?) \to$ unit $F(?)$, then $\Sigma_X \circ f^! = f^! \circ \Sigma_Y$. In particular, the definition of $f^!$ for unit F-modules does not depend on the embedding.*

Proof. Recall that by definition the equivalence Σ_Y is given by $\Sigma_{\tilde{Y}} \circ i_*$ and similarly for Σ_X. Moreover, in $Crys(\tilde{Y})$ we have $R^n\Gamma_Y = i_*R^ni^!$ by [BS16, Lemma 3.2] for any n and similarly for $Crys(\tilde{X})$. By loc. cit. and [Sch16, Lemma 5.7] we have $\Sigma_{\tilde{X}}R^n\Gamma_X = R^n\Gamma_X\Sigma_{\tilde{X}}$. Next note that whenever we have a morphism g as in 3.13, then Lemma 3.7 shows that we have $a_*f^! \cong a_*a^!g^!i_*$.

Using Theorem 3.4 and the above statements we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f^!\Sigma_Y(M) &= R^n\Gamma_X g^!\Sigma_Y(M) = R^n\Gamma_X g^!\Sigma_{\tilde{Y}}(i_*M) = R^n\Gamma_X\Sigma_{\tilde{X}}(g^!i_*M) \\ &= \Sigma_{\tilde{X}}(R^n\Gamma_X g^!i_*M) = \Sigma_{\tilde{X}}(a_*a^!g^!i_*M) = \Sigma_{\tilde{X}}(a_*f^!M) = \Sigma_X(f^!M). \end{aligned}$$

□

In order to obtain the corresponding statement for the derived category we need one more lemma. Let X be an F-finite scheme. Call a quasi-coherent Cartier module (M, κ) on X *locally nilpotent* if it is the union of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_X$-submodules N_i such that there is e_i with $\kappa^{e_i}N_i = 0$. Note that we may alternatively also require the N_i to be Cartier modules. Indeed, if N is an $\mathcal{O}_X$-module such that $\kappa^eN = 0$, then $N' = \kappa N + \ldots + \kappa^{e-1}N$ is a Cartier module containing N with $\kappa^eN' = 0$

3.15. **Lemma.** *Let X be an embeddable scheme and $i : Z \to X$ a closed immersion. Then for any quasi-coherent Cartier module M on X the cokernel of the natural inclusion $i_*R^0i^!M \subseteq H^0_Z(M)$ is locally nilpotent. In particular, $i_*i^!M = R\Gamma_Z$ in $D^b_{crys}(X)$.*

Proof. This is a local statement by [BB13a, Lemma 2.2.4] so that we may reduce to $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$ being affine and $Z = \operatorname{Spec} R/I$ for an ideal I. Fix a section m such that $I^km = 0$ and note that also $N = R \cdot m$ is annihilated by I^k. Take any e such that $p^e \geq k$. Then $I\kappa^e(N) \subseteq \kappa^e(I^{p^e}N) = 0$ showing that the inclusion is a nil-isomorphism. The supplement follows by passing to derived functors since $QCrys$ is the localization of quasi-coherent Cartier modules at locally nilpotent ones. □

3.16. **Corollary.** *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth morphism of embeddable schemes. If Σ_X denotes the equivalence from $D^b_{crys}(X) \to D^b_{unit\,F}(X)$ and similarly for Σ_Y then one has $f^! \circ \Sigma_Y = \Sigma_X \circ f^!$.*

Proof. This proceeds in a similar fashion to Theorem 3.14 using Lemma 3.15 instead of [BS16, Lemma 3.2]. □

4. Intermediate extensions and smooth pullbacks

Throughout this section we fix some Cartier algebra $\mathcal{C}$ satisfying suitable finiteness conditions as discussed in Section 2. Any Cartier module or crystal will be with respect to $\mathcal{C}$. When $\mathcal{C}$ is assumed to be principal we will indicate this by considering a Cartier module of the form (M, κ).

4.1. **Remark.** When dealing with intermediate extensions we use the assumption that $\mathcal{C} = \langle \kappa \rangle$ only to ensure that the upper shriek functor is well-defined for locally closed immersions (i.e. independent of the factorization). In the principal case we can exploit the equivalence with unit F-modules where the upper shriek corresponds to a pullback so that compatibilities are straightforward. This is probably also true for more general Cartier algebras but we do not verify this here.

4.2. **Lemma.** *Let $j : U \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ and $f : V \to \operatorname{Spec} R$ be open immersions. Then $f^! j_{!*} = j'_{!*} f'^!$, where j' and f' are the pullbacks of j and f.*

Proof. Let M be a Cartier crystal on U and denote $j_{!*}M$ by N. The issue is local on V so that we may assume that $V = \operatorname{Spec} R_x$ for some $x \in R$. Let $A \subseteq f^!N$ be such that $j'^! A = j'^! f^! N$. Denote the localization map $N \to N_x = f^!N$ by φ.

Choose an open affine covering $\bigcup_i D(y_i)$ of U. Since A and N_x restricted to $U \cap V$ coincide they coincide a fortiori when restricted to each $D(y_i) \cap V$. Hence, by [BS16, Lemma 2.2] we have inclusions $y_i f^!N \subseteq A \subseteq f^!N$. Taking preimages along φ we obtain $y_i N = y_i \varphi^{-1}(f^!N) \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(y_i f^!N) \subseteq \varphi^{-1} A \subseteq N$. Localizing at y_i yields $\varphi^{-1}(A)_{y_i} = N_{y_i}$. Since the $D(y_i)$ form a covering of U we conclude that $\varphi^{-1}(A)|_U = N|_U$. But since N is minimal with this property $\varphi^{-1}(A) = N$. As $\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(A)) \subseteq A$ we conclude that $A = N_x$ since A contains a system of generators. □

4.3. **Proposition.** *Let $j : U \to Y$ be an open immersion, $f : X \to Y$ an étale morphism and let M be a Cartier crystal on U and let $N \subseteq j_* M$ such that $j^! N = M$. If N is the intermediate extension of M, then $f^!N$ is the intermediate extension of $f'^! M$. If f is surjective, the converse holds.*

Proof. Assume that $f^!N$ is not the intermediate extension. That is, there exists $A \subsetneq f^!N$ with $j'^! A = j'^! f^! N$. In particular, we find an open affine $\operatorname{Spec} R \subseteq Y$ and $\operatorname{Spec} S \subseteq f^{-1}(\operatorname{Spec} R)$ such that the inclusion $A \subsetneq f^!N$ restricted to $\operatorname{Spec} S$ is still proper. Using Lemma 4.2 we have reduced to the situation where both $Y = \operatorname{Spec} R, X = \operatorname{Spec} S$ are affine. By factoring $X \to f(X) \to Y$ we may further assume that f is surjective. It follows ([Mil80, Remark 2.19]) that $\alpha : N \to f_* f^! N$ is injective. Fix a covering $D(y_i)$ of U by open affines. By abuse of notation we will denote $f^{-1}(D(y_i))$ again by $D(y_i)$. Since A and $f^!N$ restricted to each $D(y_i)$ agree we obtain from [BS16, Lemma 2.2] an inclusion

$$\mathcal{C} y_i f^! N = \mathcal{C} f^! y_i N \subseteq A \subseteq f^! N.$$

Note that by [BS16, Lemma 6.1] $\mathcal{C} f^! y_i N = f^! \mathcal{C} y_i N$. Define the $\mathcal{C}_R$-module $A' = A \cap \alpha(N)$ and note that we have inclusions

$$\mathcal{C}_R y_i N \subseteq A' \subseteq N,$$

where we identify $\alpha(N)$ with N. In particular, A' and N agree on U. Hence, we must have $A' = N$ since N is the intermediate extension. It follows that $f^! A' = f^! N$. But $f^! A' \subseteq A \subsetneq f^! N$ which is a contradiction.

Assume now that f is surjective. Then the converse follows from faithfully flat descent. □

We now come to the general smooth case, which after some reductions can be handled in a similar manner as the étale case.

4.4. **Theorem.** *Let $f : Y \to X$ be a smooth morphism of F-finite schemes and $j : U \to X$ an open subset. Given a Cartier crystal M with intermediate extension $j_{!*} M$ one has $j'_{!*} f'^! M = f^! j_{!*} M$, where f' and j' are the base changes of f and j. If f is surjective the converse holds.*

Proof. First of all, note that $f^! j_{!*}M \subseteq f^! j_* M = j'_* f'^! M$ by [BS16, Proposition 8.2]. Assume that it is not the intermediate extension. That is, there is $A \subsetneq f^! j_{!*} M$ with $j^! A = f'^! M$. In particular, we find an open affine V such that $A|_V \subsetneq f^! j_{!*} M|_V$. Shrinking V if necessary we find an open affine $W \subseteq Y$ such that $f(W) \subseteq V$ and such that $f|_W$ factors as $W \to \mathbb{A}^n_V \to V$, where the first morphism is étale and the second is the structural morphism. Using Proposition 4.3 we only have to deal with the case $f : \mathbb{A}^n_V \to V$, where V is affine. If $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ denote coordinates for $\mathbb{A}^n_V$ then the map $\alpha : N \to f_* f^! N, n \mapsto n \otimes dx_1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx_n$ is injective (see [Mil80, Remark 2.19]). Now one can reason just as in Proposition 4.3 to derive a contradiction. □

4.5. **Lemma.** *Let $j : U \to X$ be a locally closed immersion which factors as $j = fg$ with f a closed immersion and g an open immersion. Then if (M, κ) is a Cartier crystal on U, we have $j_{!*}M = f_* g_{!*} M$.*

Proof. Using [Sch16, Theorem 5.12 (c)] and [BB13a, Theorem 4.1.2] we have $j^! f_* g_{!*} M = g^! f^! f_* g_{!*} M = M$. It remains to show minimality. If $A \subsetneq f_* g_{!*} M$ is a subcrystal, then A is also supported in Z, where $f : Z \to X$. Indeed, if we denote the associated open immersion of the complement by $v : V \to X$, then $v^! f_* g_{!*} M = 0$ and $v^!$ is exact so that $v^! A = 0$. Hence, we have $A = f_* B$ for some crystal B on Z. Then $B = f^! f_* B \subsetneq f^! f_* g_{!*} M = g_{!*} M$, where we use that $f^! f_* = id$. As $g_{!*} M$ is minimal we conclude that $g^! B \subsetneq M$. Hence, $f_* g_{!*} M$ is minimal. □

Note that this shows in particular existence of intermediate extensions for locally closed immersions. Also note that this implies, with [Sch16, Proposition 6.18], that any simple Cartier crystal on X is of the form $j_{!*}M$ for $j : U \to X$ a locally closed immersion.

We come to the main result

4.6. **Theorem.** *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a smooth morphism of F-finite schemes and assume that $\mathcal{C} = R\langle\kappa\rangle$ is principally generated. Then for any locally closed immersion $j : U \to Y$ and any perverse $\mathbb{F}_p$-sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ one has $j'_{!*} f'^* \mathcal{F} = f^! j_{!*} \mathcal{F}$, where j' and f' are the base changes of j and f.*

Proof. By [Sch16, Corollary 6.21] and Corollary 3.12 it is sufficient to prove the corresponding statement in Cartier crystals. We may factor j as fg, where f is a closed immersion and g is an open immersion. Moreover, by Lemma 4.5 we may treat these two cases separately. For a closed immersion this is [Stä15, Lemma 3.5] and for an open immersions it follows from Theorem 4.4. □

References

[BB11] M. Blickle and G. Böckle, *Cartier modules: Finiteness results*, J. reine angew. Math. **661** (2011), 85–123.

[BB13a] ———, *Cartier crystals*, arXiv:1309.1035v1 (2013).

[BB13b] ———, *Cartier crystals: Duality*, preprint (2013).

[Bli13] M. Blickle, *Test ideals via p^{-e}-linear maps*, J. Algebraic Geom. **22** (2013), no. 1, 49–83.

[BS16] M. Blickle and A. Stäbler, *Functorial Test Modules*, preprint, arXiv:1605.09517 (2016).

[EK04a] M. Emerton and M. Kisin, *An introduction to the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for unit F-crystals*, Geometric aspects of Dwork theory, vol. 2, Walter de Gruyter, 2004, pp. 677–700.

[EK04b] ———, *The Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for unit F-crystals*, Asterisque **293** (2004).

[Gab04] O. Gabber, *Notes on some t-structures*, Geometric aspects of Dwork theory, Walter de Gruyter, 2004, pp. 711–734.

[GD61] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Eléments de Géométrie algébrique II*, vol. 8, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., 1961.

[Gro71] A. Grothendieck, *Revêtements étales et groupe fondamental (=SGA1)*, Springer, 1971, also available via arXiv:math/0206203v2.

[Har66] R. Hartshorne, *Residues and duality*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 20, Springer, 1966.
[Mil80] J. S. Milne, *Étale cohomology*, Princeton University Press, 1980.
[Sch16] T. Schedlmeier, *Cartier crystals and perverse constructible étale p-torsion sheaves*, PhD thesis (2016).
[Stä15] A. Stäbler, *Test module filtrations for unit F-modules*, preprint (2015).

Axel Stäbler, Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA
E-mail address: staebler@uni-mainz.de